

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,305	04/01/2004	Anthony Michael Rettinger	26416/05042	7271
	7590 03/05/200 ΓER & GRISWOLD, Ι	EXAMINER		
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1761	
<u></u>				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/815,305	RETTINGER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Leslie Wong	1761			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. A reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2006.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 13-54 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,305

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13, 27, 38, and their dependent claims are indefinite as to "(a) method of delivering microbiologically safe lipid-based inclusions to yogurt" as the inclusions are not delivered to yogurt. The claims are directed to the preparation of the inclusion, not to the production of yogurt.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Sullivan et al (US 7,037,538).

O'Sullivan et al disclose the preparation of a yogurt containing confectionery pieces, wherein a heated lipid-based mixture is injected into a chilled yogurt (see entire patent).

Crystallization would be obvious to that of O'Sullivan as the same components and process steps are used.

The claims differ as to the recitation of a filling.

O'Sullivan also discloses the addition of additives such as a conventional fruit sauce or puree where the additives may be added similar to the chocolate stream (i.e. lipid-based mixture), see column 8, lines 40-57).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add the lipid-based mixture into the fruit filling in order to form inclusions because O'Sullivan discloses the addition of fruit in combination with inclusions in yogurt as conventional in the art.

The prior art teaches injection into a chilled material as is claimed. Furthermore, Applicant attaches no criticality to the point of inclusion and discloses injection either directly into the yogurt or the fruit filling.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-54 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,305

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

Jeslie Wong

LAW March 1, 2007